

**Subject: Stony Creek Alternate for Pipeline**  
**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov  
**Date:** Fri, 07 Nov 2003 16:23:32 +0000  
**From:** nicvegan@att.net  
**To:** IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

Dear Sir or Madam,

I want to protect Long Island Sound and its estuaries and I support the CT DEP in their rulings to deny Coastal Zone Consistency to this project because I conduct research on the benthic invertebrate communities here.

Any additional pipeline construction will add insult to injury in an already stressed environment. Years of research will be discarded and countless hours of collective work will be considered moot and useless if this environment is further disturbed. Already anoxic basins exist and the proposed Stony Creek alternate for this pipeline will create massive dead zones in what is now a flourishing environment. Please help us stop this injustice, thank you.

Nicholas Berkun  
Master of Science  
203-671-3856 (mobile)

**Subject: Cross the Sound Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Fri, 07 Nov 2003 11:59:20 -0500

**From:** "Richard N. Platt, Jr." <r.platt@snet.net>

**Reply-To:** richard.platt.sm.55@aya.yale.edu

**Organization:** home

**To:** IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

To the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration:

The health of Long Island Sound, as an economic and recreational resource, is important to Connecticut. Any benefits of the pipeline are far outweighed by the environmental harm done to water quality, shellfish beds and coastal wetlands. Historically, shellfish have been important going way back, to the days of the Native Americans, long before the European settlers arrived. Our modern civilization seems to be doing its best to make shellfishing difficult. Please don't let it get any worse.

Please uphold the decision made by the Connecticut DEP to deny the Islander East Pipeline Company a "coastal consistency determination" under the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Sincerely yours,

Richard N. Platt, Jr.

\*\*\*\*\*

Richard N. Platt, Jr.

132 Platt Lane

Milford, CT 06460-2054

(203) 878-6094

r.platt@snet.net

richard.platt.sm.55@aya.yale.edu (permanent alias)

\*\*\*\*\*

**Subject: pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Sat, 8 Nov 2003 06:53:58 -0800 (PST)

**From:** Linda Zonana <lhzonana@yahoo.com>

**To:** IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

To Whom It May Concern: I am opposed to the Islander East project of installing a gas pipeline that goes down through the marsh near Juniper Point in Branford and across Long Island Sound. In addition to the damage it may do to the ocean floor - the shellfish, the lobsters, and the overall ecology - I am concerned about the desecration of the beauty of the marsh and surrounding areas. These are widely used for nature walks and bird watching - cutting a wide swath through the area would irrevocably damage its character. The swath would cut into Land Trust property, intended to remain open space forever, which is also laced with paths for walking that would be altered or ruined. It is better to put projects like this in areas that are already industrialized - we need to preserve as much natural beauty as possible in our crowded world - and it is my understanding that a possible link-up already exists with an approach through New Haven Harbor. Therefore I am completely opposed to Islander East's project as it has been presented to us in Branford.



Sincerely yours, Linda H. Zonana, 212 Pleasant Point Road, Stony Creek, CT 06405

---

Do you Yahoo!?

Protect your identity with Yahoo! Mail AddressGuard

oppose the pipeline

**Subject: oppose the pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Sat, 08 Nov 2003 17:09:57 +0000

**From:** tacie@att.net

**To:** IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

I strongly oppose the proposed pipeline Honor CT DEP and the vast majority of local citizens who say NO PIPELINE!

Peter Kusterer

Thimble Is. Rd.

Stony Creek CT 06405

**Subject: Preventing Islander East**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Sat, 8 Nov 2003 15:49:27 -0500 (EST)

**From:** <petlov@localnet.com>

**To:** <IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov>

Dear NOAA:

I, like thousands of other people, am concerned with protecting our precious environment. Could not attend on Nov. 5th at New Haven's Omni Hotel, but want to share my comments and feelings and such.

We Must Protect Our Environment. .

We Must Protect Our State's Rights

Peter Love

**Subject: gas pipe line across the Long Island Sound**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Sun, 9 Nov 2003 23:28:56 EST

**From:** XanieK@aol.com

**To:** islandereast.comments@noaa.gov

The thought of Duke Energy coming with machinery and pushy ways to deface and endanger Long Island Sound and its environment is a horrible thought for those of us who aim to protect the Sound and the shellfish it supports and the salt marches and open land from degradation.

At one of the early public meetings, an Islander East representative threatened eminent domain. The threat set a shock through the beautiful Connecticut shoreline and the shock remains after time has allowed detailed study of the proposed project and its effects.

Alexandrine Koontz  
Branford, CT

**Subject: Islander East Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Mon, 10 Nov 2003 14:22:59 EST

**From:** Gbabels@aol.com

**To:** islanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

We oppose the pipeline because of its negative environmental impact.

Please uphold the Oct 2002 and July 2003 decisions of the CT Dept of Environmental Protection. PLEASE DENY ISLANDER EAST'S APPEAL.

Gusta and Robert Abels  
28A Harbour Village  
Branford CT 06405

**Subject: proposed pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Mon, 10 Nov 2003 15:36:28 -0500

**From:** "Joseph D. Garrison" <jgarrison@GARRISONLAW.COM>

**To:** "'islandereast.comments@noaa.gov'" <islandereast.comments@noaa.gov>

I am writing to oppose the construction of the natural gas pipeline proposed by Islander East. No one, to date, has made any claim that this pipeline would improve the environment. At best, proponents claim that it will not damage it. If they are correct, short-term harm will occur but there may be no lasting effects. If they are wrong, we will have lost extraordinary and irreplaceable natural treasures. Virtually all environmental experts in the State of Connecticut believe this pipeline has the potential for disaster. This is a case where federalism makes sense, where the federal government should defer to the interests of the particular state which will be impacted by the decision.



**Subject: Islander east pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Tue, 11 Nov 2003 08:58:37 -0800 (PST)

**From:** Edward Lovejoy <ewlovejoy@yahoo.com>

**Reply-To:** elovejoy@laddcap.com

**To:** IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

Dear NOAA,

My wife and I are writting to protest the islander east project in connecticut. As shoreline homeowners for 4 generations, the effects on the the shoreline and my family enjoyment are too high to give approval for this venture. please stop them. Thank you for your help!!!

Sincerely, Edward and Lisa Lovejoy, 125 johnsons point rd, branford, ct 06405.

**Subject: Islander East Gas Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Tue, 11 Nov 2003 15:11:54 -0500

**From:** Juniper Point <juniperpoint@comcast.net>

**To:** IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov

I am firmly against the proposed Islander East gas pipeline; it would destroy the natural environment surrounding my home in Branford, Connecticut as well as other precious wetlands, shellfish beds and preserves in the area. Please support the decision of the Department of Environmental Protection to reject this disastrous threat to the community.

Thank you,

Mark Serchuck  
Branford, CT

**Subject: Opposition to Pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 12 Nov 2003 08:25:46 -0500

**From:** "sgfusco" <sgfusco@attg.net>

**To:** <islandereast.comments@noaa.gov>

I am sending this email to voice my opposition to the installation of a pipeline through Branford and Long Island Sound. I feel this pipeline is a detriment to the health of Long Island Sound, especially the Thimble Islands. I believe alternative locations or increased use of the Iroquois pipeline should be utilized.

Sincerely,

Deborah Fusco  
5 W. Haycock Pt. Rd.  
Branford, Ct.

**Subject: The pipeline**

**Resent-From:** Islandereast.Comments@noaa.gov

**Date:** Wed, 12 Nov 2003 10:36:00 -0500

**From:** laurel lobovits MD <laurellobo@snet.net>

**To:** <IslanderEast.comments@noaa.gov>

Energy concerns must be addressed but not by creating environmental havoc.  
Please refuse the appeal by Islander East and support the Ct DEP decision.  
Long Island Sound is key to the economic health of CT, on a grassroots level  
in our small communities we work to improve its quality, but all this effort  
will be meaningless if the DEP cannot do its job,

<<http://milfordecc.com/>> Thank you L Lobovits